



Due on 30 Sept. 2025

Discussion on 30 Sept. 2025

In this week's exercise, we set  $\hbar = 1$  for convenience.

**Notation.** We use hats for operators and bold for vectors. The spin operators at site  $i$  are  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_i = (\hat{S}_i^x, \hat{S}_i^y, \hat{S}_i^z)$ . The symbol  $S$  (no hat, not bold) denotes the *spin quantum number*. When we take the classical approximation later, we set  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_i = S \mathbf{n}_i$  with  $|\mathbf{n}_i| = 1$  and then drop the hats.

## 1 Ferromagnet ★ New

We take the ferromagnetic Heisenberg Hamiltonian on a 1D lattice of  $N$  sites with spacing  $a$ :

$$\hat{H} = -J \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i+1}, \quad J > 0, \quad (1)$$

where the index  $i$  represents the  $i$ -th site, with periodic boundary conditions  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{N+1} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{S}}_1$ . Let  $S$  be the spin quantum number at each site. For electrons  $S = \frac{1}{2}$ .

- (a) *A recap of spin operators:* For a spin-1/2 system, we have the following representation of the spin operators:

$$\hat{S}^x = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{S}^y = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{S}^z = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

What are the eigenstates of the operator  $\hat{S}^z$ ?<sup>1</sup> Let us denote these two eigenstates as  $|\uparrow\rangle$  and  $|\downarrow\rangle$ . Calculate:

$$\hat{S}^x |\uparrow\rangle, \quad \hat{S}^y |\uparrow\rangle, \quad \hat{S}^x |\downarrow\rangle, \quad \hat{S}^y |\downarrow\rangle$$

and express the results in terms of the two states  $|\downarrow\rangle$  and  $|\uparrow\rangle$ .

- (b) Intuitively, the system has the lowest energy when all spins align. We use Dirac notation to denote this state:

$$|\phi\rangle \equiv |\uparrow_1 \uparrow_2 \cdots \uparrow_N\rangle \quad (2)$$

where  $\uparrow_i$  means the  $i$ -th spin is pointing up, i.e. the up-spin eigenstate of the  $\hat{S}_i^z$  operator. Prove that this state  $|\phi\rangle$  is indeed an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian (Eq. 1).<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Hint: An eigenstate  $|\psi\rangle$  of an operator  $\hat{O}$  satisfies the equation  $\hat{O}|\psi\rangle = \lambda|\psi\rangle$ , where  $\lambda$  is the eigen value of the state. In this case, you need to find the states that satisfy  $\hat{S}^z|\psi\rangle = \lambda|\psi\rangle$ . You should expect to find two eigenstates.

<sup>2</sup>Hint: To simplify the problem, you could try  $N = 2$  first, then extend it to  $N = 3$ . Again, to prove that  $|\phi\rangle$  is indeed an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian, you need to prove that  $\hat{H}|\phi\rangle = \lambda|\phi\rangle$

## 2 Spin wave in ferromagnet ★ New

Take the Hamiltonian from the last exercise.

- (a) The equation of motion of this system is

$$\dot{\hat{\mathbf{S}}}_i = J\hat{\mathbf{S}}_i \times (\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i-1} + \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{i+1}), \quad (3)$$

where  $\dot{\hat{\mathbf{S}}}$  is the time derivative of the operator  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$ . This is a vector form of the equation of motion. Rewrite the equation in terms of  $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$ 's three components:  $\hat{S}^x$ ,  $\hat{S}^y$ , and  $\hat{S}^z$ .

- (b) We take a classical approximation, we treat the  $\mathbf{S}$  as a classical variable, meaning that it is not an operator anymore. Each  $\mathbf{S}$  can be simply written as a 3-dimensional vector (for example,  $(0.1, 0.1, 0.2)$ ). Let's assume the system is sitting at its ground state where all spins are pointing in the  $z$  direction, i.e.  $S_i^z = S$ , and  $S_i^x = S_i^y = 0$ . A small perturbation will bring the state slightly away from this ground state. Try to argue, in a hand-wavy way, that a small transverse perturbation leads to

$$S^z \approx S, \quad S^x = \delta S^x, \quad S^y = \delta S^y,$$

up to first order, where  $\delta S^{x,y}$  is a small value compared to  $S$ . This means that  $z$  component is almost unchanged under small perturbation. Therefore, we can treat it as a constant.

- (c) Assuming a small perturbation is applied. Simplify the equation of motion Eq.2. You should get

$$\delta \dot{S}_i^x = -JS [(\delta S_{i+1}^y - \delta S_i^y) - (\delta S_i^y - \delta S_{i-1}^y)], \quad (4)$$

and similar expression for the  $y$  component. In fact, we can actually discard the  $\delta$  sign in front of the  $S$ , because effectively  $\delta S^x = S^x$ , and  $\delta S^y = S^y$ .

- (d) Instead of discretizing the system like we usually do in physics, we will do the opposite this time: let us "continu-nize" the system. This means that the lattice constant is so small that we can treat the system as a continuous system. Therefore, the spin operator now becomes a function of position,  $S_i \Rightarrow S(x)$ , where  $x$  is the coordinate. Prove that, with this approximation, the equation can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial S^x}{\partial t} = -JSa^2 \frac{\partial^2 S^y}{\partial x^2}, \quad \frac{\partial S^y}{\partial t} = JSa^2 \frac{\partial^2 S^x}{\partial x^2}, \quad (5)$$

where  $a$  is the lattice constant.

- (e) Fourier transform the Eq. 5 to both frequency (energy) and  $k$  (momentum) space.<sup>3</sup> You should get the following equation:

$$i\omega \tilde{S}^x(\omega, k) = -JSa^2 k^2 \tilde{S}^y(\omega, k) \quad (6)$$

and similar expression for the  $y$  component.

- (f) Prove that this system has a dispersion of the form

$$\omega \propto (ka)^2$$

Explain why this LOOKS different from what you learned in the lecture, where  $\omega \propto (1 - \cos(ka))$ .

## 3 Magnetic domain walls ♦ From 2024

Hidden due to University of Zurich policy.

---

<sup>3</sup>Use  $f(x, t) = \int \frac{dk}{2\pi} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \tilde{f}(\omega, k) e^{i(kx - \omega t)}$ . Then  $\partial_t \rightarrow -i\omega$ ,  $\partial_x^2 \rightarrow -k^2$ .

- 4 (Optional) We restore the  $\hbar$  in this exercise. Spin waves in a two-dimensional antiferromagnet ❖ From 2024

Hidden due to University of Zurich policy.